

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 and 16 are pending. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested in view of the remarks.

Claims 1-13 and 16 have been rejected to under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner stated essentially that the specification fails to support claims 1-13 and 16, and more particularly that "the second wireless mobile station transmits location information to the first wireless mobile station, which was not described in the specification."

At page 8, lines 23-24, the specification clearly sets forth that a "wireless base station AP can also be a wireless mobile station of a fixed wireless base station AP." Thus, any exemplary embodiment described in the specification as including a wireless base station AP transmitting location information to a wireless mobile station also includes a case where location information is transmitted between first and second wireless mobile stations. Therefore, Claims 1-13 and 16 are believed to satisfy 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

For the forgoing reasons, the present application, including claims 1-13 and 16, is believed to be in condition for allowance. The Examiner's early and favorable action is respectfully urged.

Respectfully submitted,



Nathaniel T. Wallace
Reg. No. 48,909
Attorney for Applicants

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, New York 11797
TEL: (516) 692-8888
FAX: (516) 692-8889